Independent Samples (equivalence bounds based on raw scores)											
Mean group 1 76 Mean group 2 78 90% CI Mdiff [Lower] -7.799 90% CI Mdiff [Upper] 3.556											
SD group 1	23	SD group 2	19.05998	NHST Welch's two-		3.330		NHST Student's two-sided t -test		Effect Size	
n group 1	73	n group 2	79	t	-0.618			t	-0.623	Cohen's d <sub>s</sub>	-0.101
low equivalence bound		high equivalence bound		df	140.5869956			df	150	Hedges's g <sub>s</sub>	-0.101
(raw scores)	-10	(raw scores)	10	р	0.537			р	0.534	SDpooled	20.980
TOST Power Analysis				TOST Equivalence Test Equal Variances Not Assumed			TOST Equivalence Test Equal Variances Assumed				
alpha (Type 1 error rate)		0.025		One-Sided Test 1		One-Sided Test 2		One-Sided Test 1		One-Sided Test 2	
Desired Power		0.9		t	2.297	t	-3.533	t	2.313	t	-3.559
low equivalence bound (raw scores)		-10		df	140.59	df	140.59	df	150	df	150
high equivalence bound (raw scores)		10		р	0.012	р	0.000	р	0.025	р	0.000
pooled SD		20		TOST result			TOST result				
Required Sample Size		104		t	2.297	р	0.012	t	2.313	р	0.025
(in each condition)		104		The TOST procedure based on Welch's t-test indicated that the observed effect size (d The TOST procedure					ed on Student	's t-test indicated that the o	bserved effect
				= -0.1) was significantly within the equivalent bounds of -10 and 10 scale points, (or in				size (d = -0.1) was significantly within the equivalent bounds of -10 and 10 scale			
				Cohen's d: -0.48 and 0.48), t(140.59) = 2.3, p = 0.012				points, t(150) = 2.31, p = 0.025			